

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,148	08/27/2001	Michael Zobel	Mo-6485/LeA33,061	7822	
157	7590 02/14/2003				
	RPORATION		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
100 BAYER F PITTSBURGI			SHORT, PATRICIA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	10	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

App	lication No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	1890/48 Zobel et al
- CAR	Short 1712
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on to	he cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Peri dfrReply	H
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXP OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	RE Chree MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire Septiment to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause</li> </ul>	n the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status (	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ser 11, 2002
This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
Disp sition of Claims	
(Claim(s) 2-5, 11-9, 12, 13	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) 2-5, 7-9, 12, 13  Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
$\chi$ Claim(s) 2-5, 7-9, 12, 13	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	,
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	
	ta manana i mara a a a
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to b	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to b ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to be</li> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to be ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	by the Examiner.
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to be the specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35</li> </ul>	u.s.c. § 11 9(a)-(d).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to be ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	u.s.c. § 11 9(a)-(d).
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  prity documents have been
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  prity documents have been
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  ority documents have been  all Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  ority documents have been  all Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  prity documents have been  all Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  prity documents have been  all Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 7-9, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan alone or Pan in view of Grawbowski. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office action. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to modify the teaching of the prior art to produce the claimed invention and requests citation of a reference to support the position. Presumably, applicant is requesting citation of a reference to support the position that it would have been obvious to use an aluminum oxide colloid having a particle diameter of 1 nm to 20 µm as the aluminum oxide colloid in the composition of Pan as modified by Grawbowski. Barney was cited in the first Office action to show that aluminum oxide colloids having a particle size of 2 nanograms are commercially available under the trademark of Nalco™ ISJ-614. See col. 3, lines 10-21. Pan teaches that the preferrred aluminum colloid has a particle size of less than 1 micron. While Pan does not disclose the size of the aluminum oxide used in the examples, it is a colloid obtained from Nalco Chemical Co. Thus, it would have been obvious to use the aluminum oxide colloid having a panicle size of 2 nanograms commercially available from Nalco as the aluminum oxide in order to obtain a flame retardant polycarbonate composition. The motivation of the references does not have to be the same as applicant's motivation. See In re Dillion 16 USPQ2d 1901 (Fed Cir. 1990).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1712

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

P. Short

February 11, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patrice a Short